Errata as of January 15, 2014; First Printing Biostatistical Methods: The Assessment of Relative Risks, 2nd Edition John M. Lachin

John Wiley and Sons, 2011

ISBN: 978-0-470-50822-0

The following errors have been detected to date in the first printing. Many but not all of these errors were corrected in the second and later printings. The printing of your copy of the book can be determined by the lowest number on the line at the bottom of the copyright page (iv, not numbered).

p.106. On the second line, after "specific alternative" add the text ", with category sample fractions $\{\eta_i\}$ of 0.86219, 0.13234, and 0.00547263."

p.106, Example 3.8. Beginning on the 5th line replace "The rank scores then are $\{s_i\} = ...$ " with "The fractional rank scores then are $\{v_i\} = ...$ ".

p. 156, under Section 4.7, third line, replace "null value $\{\theta = \theta_0\}$ (association)." with "null value $\{\theta = \theta_0\}$ (no association)."

p. 158. Equation 4.79 should read

$$H_{1A(q)}: g(\pi_{1k}) - g(\pi_{2k}) = \theta \neq \theta_0 \text{ for } \forall k, \qquad ((4.79))$$

that is, add " $\neq \theta_0$ "

p. 190. In the second table, replace OR with \widehat{OR} .

p. 235. 4th line under 5.9.3, replace "K 2×2 tables" with "2^K tables".

p. 321. Following (7.73), the expression "that can be factored as $\sigma^2 = \phi^2/N$ " can be deleted. Then in (7.74) replace ϕ by v, and in the subsequent sentence replace " = Δ/ϕ " with " = Δ/v ".

p. 322. In example 7.9, change 771 to 623. The 771 is for a test with 95% power.

p. 357-8. For clarity, the subscript j should be changed to k as in the preceding section.

p. 407, line 2, replace "frequencies that would" with "frequencies than would".

p. 408, first line of text, replace "There is been" with "There has been".

p. 432, equation (9.10), replace " $L(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_N)$ " with " $L(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_J)$ ".

p. 446, last line, replace "Table 9.3" with "Table 9.5".

p. 457, following (9.56), replace "see Section 9.7.3" with "see Problems".

p. 462, in the denominator of (9.79) replace " $\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_h$ " with " $\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_k$ "

p. 475, change "= 3.163" to "= 3.163 on 1 df".

p. 485, last line on the page, change " λ_1, λ_1 " to " λ_1, λ_2 "